

August 24, 87

Dear William,

Thank-you so v4ry much for the letter, and for the documentation. Exactly what I had asked for. Don't know exactly what to give you in return., as I don't know exactly what Smith material you have. Do you have the government files from Magnet and Second Ø Storey? If you don't I will send you some of the more interesting memos. Enclosed one that shows that there never was any intention for the Smith memo to have surfaced.

You mentioned in your to Sarbacher "A declassified A.O.S.I. Document." Could I get a copy of that? I have enclosed a letter that I got from Stringfield the same day that I got your letter.

Would it be possible to buy a copy of your book from you when it is published? Things are quite slow here, and it could be six months or more till we see the book on our stands. I have a great interest in what you have written and would like to see it as spon as possible. If you let me know how much money is involved I will send it before you send the book.

Did you ever try to contact Bremner? Is he alive? Since I first saw the interview done by Smith, I have filed an official FOIA request with External affairs for Bremners biography and all info that was being fed back into Canada thro' Bremner and A. Wright who was the military attache mentioned in the Smith memo.

Could I also get from you the letter sent to you from Arthur Bray? Secondly I would like to see the correspondence between you and Solandt. I can probably send you some material on Solandt as he was behind Project Second Storey. There is a lot of stuff, and again I don't know how much you have. Friedman I believe has talked to Solandt. I have sent an informal request to the Defence Research Board to see whether or not Solandt kept a diary which would probably reveal something. One person who I am hoping appears in all this Smith/MJ-12 stuff is Dr. C. MacKenzie who was good friends with Bush. Bush was the head of all weapon research in the U.S. In Canada it was MacKenzie. There was a scientific liason between Canada, Britain, and the U.S.A. MacKenzie kept a diary (37 volumes in the National Archives) and he surely saw the Smith memo because he provided Smith with an expert on earth magnetic fields who I am at present writting a letter to. You can therefore see why Solandt's comments are important. I doubt however he had much to say and he was involved in the dump Smith movement. I have made a FOIA request for documents on the Suffield landing base story that Solandt was the author, and according to Mrs. Smith, Wilbert and AFFA were the subjects under the microscope.

During the last number of years we Canadians have been quite lazy about filing for documents even though with Smith as chief government scientist, you would expect a lot of material, and a lot of truth. Since the MJ-12 documents came out, I figured that someone here in Canada better get moving, and I think that I am the only one at present

who is filing. Stanton did some filing but i don't know if with the MJ-12 stuff he has much time to write and fight with the government. By the way, that's how I got Walkers name- from one of Stanton's requests. Maybe you could fill me in on the connection unless it is in you book. Also in your letter to Sarbacher you stated "I do know as a fact that Dr. Vannevar Bush and Dr. Eric Henry Wang did perform some analysis on the flying saucer." Without revealing your source which you probably want to protect could you expand on this as much as possible, because of the Smith/Bush connection? Also because I am 90% sure that there will be a memo or note linking the National Research Council President MacKenzie, and Bush.

L

On Sept 13 I have an interview in Calgary Canada with Mr. Buchanan who was an associate of Wilberts. Some claim he knows a lot. His statement to me is that this is highly over-rated. He stated last month that Smith had never mentioned " MJ-12 " although he did state he was under a secrecy type order. I plan to ask him about whether or not Smith saw a crashed saucer in about 1953 in Washington, about the communication expert working with Smith who dissappeared (Walt Andrus told me that tale in 1976), and about the work with Mrs. Swan who Buchanan helped to interview. There are probably a dozen biz arre tales that I need to ask him about if the interview is still on. He was supposed to have contacted me here in Winnipeg a couple of weeks back and he never did. Also haven't heard from Jim Smith or Mrs. Smith for a long time. Something seems to have gone wrong unless they are on holidays. When was the last time that you heard from them? What are the last addresses you have for them. I am willing to exchange the correspondence that I have had (even tho' they don't say much by mail) in exchange for letters you have exchanged with them. One thing I have tried to keep to myself is my connection with Stanton. They do not have much good to say for Stanton. My main contact I had with the Smiths was a three hour interview that I had with them in Ottawa in 1976 which was like a night in the twilight zone. I have worked exclusively on Smith ever since. Unfortunately I didn't take any notes, and have regreted it ever since.

Enclosed please find a copy of ~~the~~ 8-5-85 interview notes that you requested. Any comments on them are appreciated.

Hope all is well. Hope to hear from you soon. Will have a lot of Smith material in the next 90 days or so as I'm trying to document a lot of the stories that I heard many years back. Thanks again for the stuff. It was well received!



*encl. Sept 15, 69 Wilson memo.
Stanton's Access Request 6-2-84
Bletchman interview Aug 5, 85
McKenzie - McNaughton letter
Strangfield letter Aug 11, 87*

Wendelle C. Stevens 473-44-6705
Lt. Col. USAF 18195-A (Ret.)
3224 S. Winona Circle
Tucson, Arizona 85730

Wendelle C. Stevens
3224 S. Winona Cir.,
Tucson, Arizona 85730

23 February 1977

Mr. Grant R. Cameron
445 Hudson Street,
Winnipeg 19,
Manitoba, Canada

Dear Grant:


Thanks for your letter of 10 February. No, I do not have much on Wilbert B. Smith. I know he had an organization around him which published a little paper called "Topside". Years ago, when I first heard about it, I sent them money for back issues of the paper, but never recieved a thing. A huge spaceship which they called the X-12 was supposed to have landed in their vicinity and Smith supposedly went aboard and was shown around and told many things. I was never able to get enough material on the case to evaluate it, and I don't know anybody else who ever did. Does your information seem to confirm this landing and the contacts? It would be interesting to know the truth. Who successfully prevented the release of the information?

I have another case, in Canada, that stumps me. On 3 July 1967, 2 excellent color photographs of a structured disc were taken near Calgary, Alberta by Mr. Warren Smith. I have black and white prints of both of those photos, but I have never found anyone who has color copies. The Canadian Air Force had those photos in their possession for some time and may have made color copies. Do you suppose your sources could get a color set of those 2 pictures?

Since the Charlie Red Star flap ended that case has become history. I think the only way one could treat it now would be a presentation of the evidence whole and complete, with all of the interviews, and that would take a book. My particular bag is UFO photographs, and I don't think any reasonably close photos, showing a definite structured object, of measurable proportions, was ever taken. Most were nocturnal lights which are the least appealing because there are so many alternate explanations for lights in the sky. To the ones who saw and took the photographs, of course, there is no other plausible explanation, but to the armchair fan there are many. That pictures being lost in the mail is a maddening situation. No other mail gets lost, but when you send a new and very sensitive picture through the mail, the chance is high that it will never reach its destination. I have a case now where the pictures were separated and mailed in separate letters from different mailboxes and still niether one ever reached me. How do you account for that? Also about the time these photos should have arrived, my house was searched professionally from top to bottom, completely, and nothing was taken. I am told that 4 conservatively dressed men were seen in front of my house during the 1½ hours that I was gone. Nobody ever saw them before or since.

Stay in touch. Maybe something else will break. I would be interested in how your Wilburt Smith case comes out.

Sincerely,


Wendelle C. Stevens

Wendelle C. Stevens
3224 S. Winona Cir.,
Tucson, Arizona 85730

6 July 1976

Mr. Grant R. Cameron
445 Hudson Street,
Winnipeg 19, Manitoba,
Canada

Dear Sir:

Your letter of 5 June 76 was forwarded to me by SAGA, and I just recieved it today. You made reference to the "Tracks" article in UFO Report. I was sorry to see the article published with only two pictures. I furnished 22 photos for the article, and some were much better than the ones published.

I am glad to hear that someone is actively on the "Charlie Red Star" case. I have been following the flap, but necessarily from long distance. The enquirer article was too short and much too incomplete. I think there is enough material there and enough of a distinctive pattern to warrant writing a book about that flap alone. If not that someone should publish a good, complete and detailed report on that series of events. Have very many good pictures been taken? There were at least 3 photos last time I heard.


The Bob Dimerts at Carmen are good friends of mine and have sent me some clippings and some notes of their own. Have you met them? I believe the flap first came to attention at Dimert's airstrip west of town.

I had only heard of one photo being taken of the object. I believe you said that you had heard of more. Would it be possible to get a look at some of the photos that you may know of? We would be happy to return them in a very few days if this may be possible.

If you do not want to do a book or pamphlet on this case I would be happy to help get it published as an article in one of the UFO magazines. You can either write it up in journalistic form yourself and I will edit it or you may send the package of notes to me and I will write it up. In either case we may share the authorship if I get it published.

Thanks for writing and I hope ot hear from you again soon.

Sincerely,



Wendelle C. Stevens

Wendelle C. Stevens
3224 S. Winona Cir.,
Tucson, Arizona 85730

Dear Friends:

In keeping with the series of factbooks on UFOs which I have been publishing in what has become known as the "UFO Contact" series, I have decided to print a good manuscript which is long overdue.

You all remember the two fishermen who were taken aboard a UFO at Pascagoula, Mississippi in October 1973 which got a great deal of tabloid publicity at the time, and one of the witness/abductees, Charlie Hixon, appeared on several Television talk shows since.

What was not revealed then and has been withheld until now, is that Mr. Hixon's contact did not end there. As we are learning in a growing number of real contact cases, that are meaningful, the witness abduction was a carefully planned event and was in fact a part of a contact program on the part of the extraterrestrials. This seems to be the case in this contact also.

Charlie Hixon was prepared in some way during the abduction aboard the alien spacecraft to be receptive to communications transmitted by the abductors, and has in fact recieved a considerable body of information from them to be revealed at appropriate times in the future.

He has met them on two more occasions since the first and feels a genuine relationship with them as rational intelligent beings here observing us in our evolution.

Mr. Walter Mendez, a high school teacher from Wisconsin, became interested in this case and Charlie Hixon and what was going on, and taking his summer vacation, went to Mississippi to meet and talk to Hixon. He began conducting his own investigation, and a very thorough one too, into this case and became convinced of its reality in fact. He began to meticulously document the evidence and finally prepared a 265 page report.

I have published that report in its entirety together with the many illustrations prepared and the maps and photographs collected by Mr. Mendez. These are bound in a new hard-bound high quality library style book titled, "UFO Contact at Pascagoula".

You may order this book directly from me at a price of \$14.95 each plus \$1.25 for mailer and postage. Of course your satisfaction is guaranteed as before in this series. There are only 2,000 copies printed, too few to go into bookstores, so order early if you don't want to miss this one.

Respectfully,


Wendelle C. Stevens

over

WILLIAM S. STEINMAN
15043 ROSALITA DR.
LA MIRADA, CALIF.
90638

DR. ROBERT I. SARBACHER
500 BRAZILIAN AVE.
PALM BEACH, FLORIDA
33480

MAY 18, 1983

DEAR DR. SARBACHER:

ALLOW ME TO INTRODUCE MYSELF. MY NAME IS WILLIAM S. STEINMAN, I AM A FREE-LANCE INVESTIGATOR INTO THE SUBJECT MATTER PERTAINING TO UFOLOGY, PHYSICAL EVIDENCE. AT THE PERSENT TIME, I AM, AND HAVE BEEN INVESTIGATING INTO THE CRASHED AND RECOVERED FLYING SAUCER CASES. IN PARTICULAR, I AM INTERESTED IN THAT FLYING SAUCER THAT WAS RECOVERED EAST OF AZTEC, NEW MEXICO, IN 1948. SO FAR, I HAVE COLLECTED THE FOLLOWING FACTS PERTAINING TO THIS PARTICULAR CASE:

1. I HAVE LOCATED, VISITED, AND VERIFIED THE EXACT RECOVERY SITE, WHICH IS SITUATED 12 MILES NORTH EAST OF AZTEC, NEW MEXICO, IN HART CANYON, ON TOP OF A ROCKY PLATEAU.
2. I HAVE LOCATED AND INTERVIEWED THE 1948 OWNER OF THE RANCH ON WHICH THE FLYING SAUCER WAS RECOVERED.
3. I HAVE LOCATED AND INTERVIEWED A PANCH OWNER, WHO SAW THE FLYING SAUCER COMING DOWN ACROSS HIS OWN RANCH, ON ITS WAY TO THE CRASH RECOVERY SITE.
4. I HAVE LOCATED AND INTERVIEWED TWO RETIRED MILITARY INTELLIGENCE OFFICERS, WHO WERE MEMBERS OF THE RECOVERY TEAM.
5. I HAVE LOCATED AND OBTAINED COPIES OF TWO DOCUMENTS THAT REFERENCE THE AZTEC FLYING SAUCER INCIDENT.
 - A. A DECLASSIFIED A.O.S.I. DOCUMENT.
 - B. THREE PAGES OF NOTES RECORDING AN INTERVIEW BETWEEN WILBERT B. SMITH WITH YOURSELF THAT VERIFY THE BOOK BY FRANK SCULLY, BEHIND THE FLYING SAUCERS, AS CONTAINING "FACTS THAT ARE SUBSTANTIALLY CORRECT"
6. I AM IN THE PROCESS OF FOLLOWINGUP ON MANY MANY OTHER LEADS, PERTAINING BOTH DIRECTLY AND INDIRECTLY TO THIS AZTEC, NEW MEXICO CASE.

DR. SARBACHER, CAN YOU PLEASE TELL ME WHAT YOU DO KNOW, AS PERTAINING TO THIS PARTICULAR FLYING SAUCER RECOVERY OR ANY OTHERS THAT YOU MIGHT BE MORE FAMILIAR WITH. I NEED TO KNOW WHERE I CAN OBTAIN PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE AZTEC FLYING SAUCER AT THE CRASH-RECOVERY SITE AND AT THE COMPOUND IN WHICH IT IS BEING STORED TO THIS DAY.

August 17, 1987

Dear Mr. Cameron,

So good to hear from you and I certainly appreciate the Wilbert Smith document which you enclosed. This one I had not seen before, but I'm well aware of Smith's 1950 document and his reference to Dr. Bush, etc.

Re Dr. Sarbacher, I was one who first released his name to research after getting permission from Arthur Bray in Canada. *Bray had also collected a mass of material re Smith, probably much of which he still retains as confidential. In Toronto, 1981, he introduced me to Mr. Smith who was very much informed about her husband's activities. Sarbacher died recently, but he related a surprising amount of information about his work to Bill Steinman in California which will be published in a book due out next month called, The Recovery at Aztec. Bill plans to published all the letters he received from Sarbacher and also much data on the alleged Aztec crash in 1948. He claims to have many sources re that crash that he cannot name or will be in trouble.


I have heard of Art Lundahl in association with UFO crashes but I have nothing that ties him with any of my firsthand cases. But I doubt he would admit anything if he were reachable.

The big story now is MJ-12, but many in research are questioning the authenticity of the documents. I have a source I believe has served in that project but he won't admit it. Can't blame him knowing what the phantom forces can do to people who talk out of turn.

Again, many thanks for the Smith notes. Stay in touch.

Sincerely

* See page 41 of my
Status Report III. "UFO
CRASH/RETRIEVALS: MASSIVE
THE EVIDENCE."


LEONARD H STRINGFIELD
4412 GROVE AVE.
CINCINNATI OHIO 45227

I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO HAVE A COPY OF THE FULL GOVERNMENT REPORT COVERING THE RECOVERY OPERATION, THE ANALYSIS, AND THE AUTOPSIES PERFORMED ON THE HUMANOID OCCUPANTS. I NEED THE NAMES AND CURRENT MAILING ADDRESSES OF ANYONE ELSE WHO MIGHT BE ABLE TO HELP ME ON THIS MATTER.

DID THE FOLLOWING PERSONS TAKE PART IN THE ACTUAL RECOVERY OPERATION, AND/OR ON SPOT, AND/OR POST ANALYSIS PROCESSING OF THE AZTEC FLYING SAUCER?

THOMAS TOWNSEND BROWN
 DR. WEISBERG
 DR. HELLMUT SCHMIDT
 DR. ROBERT H. KENT
 DR. JOHN VON NEUMAN
 DR. WERNHER VON BRAUN
 DR. FRANCIS BITTER
 DR. LEO A. GEBAUER
 DR. J. ROBERT OPPENHEIMER

I DO KNOW AS A FACT THAT DR. VANNEVAR BUSH AND DR. ERIC HENRY WANG DID PERFORM SOME ANALYSIS ON THE FLYING SAUCER. BUT I DON'T QUITE KNOW WHETHER THEIR ANALYSIS WAS PERFORMED AT THE ACTUAL RECOVERY SITE, AT LOS ALAMOS, OR SOME OTHER COMPOUND. CAN YOU PLEASE CLARIFY THIS FOR ME.

AS PERTAINING TO THOSE INTERVIEW NOTES, AS REFERENCED ON PAGE #1 OF THIS LETTER, UNDER COLLECTED FACTS, POINT # 5.B; THESE THREE PAGES CAME FROM THE RESEARCH DIARY OF WILBERT B. SMITH, AS HE WAS COLLECTING DATA PRECEEDING THE SETTING UP OF CANADA'S "PROJECT MAGNET" (THAT COUNTRY'S OFFICIAL FLYING SAUCER INVESTIGATION PROJECT 1950-1953). AS YOU ALREADY KNOW WILBERT B. SMITH WAS THE PROJECT HEAD, WORKING WITHIN CANADA'S DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION. THE PORTION OF THE NOTES THAT CAUGHT MY ATTENTION AND CAUSED ME TO CONTACT YOU, WAS SMITH'S SECOND AND FOURTH QUESTIONS TO YOU (SEE YOUR XEROX COPY, ATTACHED TO THIS LETTER). SMITH ASKED YOU, "I HAVE READ SCULLY'S BOOK ON THE SAUCERS AND WOULD LIKE TO KNOW HOW MUCH OF IT IS TRUE." YOUR ANSWER WAS, "THE FACTS REPORTED IN THE BOOK ARE SUBSTANTIALLY CORRECT". AND AGAIN SMITH ASKED YOU, "DO THEY OPERATE AS SCULLY SUGGESTED ON MAGNETIC PRINCIPLES?" YOUR ANSWER WAS, "WE HAVE NOT BEEN ABLE TO DUPLICATE THEIR PERFORMANCE."

THIS INTERVIEW TOOK PLACE ON SEPTEMBER 15, 1950 THROUGH L.H.C. BRENNER OF THE CANADIAN EMBASSY IN WASHINGTON D.C. CAN YOU PLEASE SUBSTANTIATE THIS INTERVIEW. AS YOU KNOW, THE BOOK THAT SCULLY WROTE, BEHIND THE FLYING SAUCERS, PUBLISHED BY HOLT AND COMPANY, IN AUGUST OF 1950, DEALT EXCLUSIVELY WITH THE SUBJECT MATTER PERTAINING TO THE MILITARY RECOVERY OF THREE FLYING SAUCERS FROM 1948 TO 1949. THE MAIN THRUST OF THE BOOK DEALT WITH THE AZTEC, NEW MEXICO, RECOVERY IN PARTICULAR. AND YOU SAID THAT CONTENTS RECORDED IN THAT BOOK, "ARE SUBSTANTIALLY CORRECT."

MY MAIN PURPOSE OF THIS INVESTIGATION, IS TO ACCUMULATE DATA AS PROOF TO PROVE THAT OUR GOVERNMENT DOES INDEED HAVE THESE FLYING SAUCERS HARBOURED AWAY IN VARIOUS MILITARY AND SCIENTIFIC COMPOUNDS AROUND THESE UNITED STATES. THEN USE THIS EVIDENCE TO PERSUADE THOSE WHO CONTROLL THIS SHROUD OF EXTREME SECRECY, TO DO AWAY WITH THIS UNNECESSARY SECRECY AND TURN THE CRAFT OVER TO THE TOTAL SCIENTIFIC COMMUNITY FOR THE PROPER ANALYSIS THAT THEY DESERVE. THIS PROPER ANALYSIS SHOULD EVENTUALLY, THROUGH A CONCENTRATED EFFORT, LEAD TO A DUPLICATION OF THE CRAFT. THIS WILL IN TURN, REVOLUTIONISE OUR TECHNOLOGICAL ADVANCEMENT, TURN OUR ECONOMY AROUND FOR THE NEXT FEW HUNDRED YEARS, SUPPLY OUR MUCH NEEDED ENERGY NEEDS, AND IN THE LONG RUN, ADVANCE MANKINDS KNOWLEDGE OF THE UNIVERSE.

WE MUST GET RID OF THOSE ANTIQUATED IDEAS ABOUT MANKIND NOT BEING READY TO ACCEPT THESE NUTS AND BOLTS FLYING SAUCERS. MANKIND IS NOW READY FOR ANYTHING NEW. I FEEL, THAT IF WE NOW RAN THAT INFORMATION THROUGH THE RAND CORPORATION'S THINK TANK, THE RESULTS WOULD BE VERY POSITIVE TOWARDS LETTING THE PEOPLE KNOW THE WHOLE TRUTH.

DR. SARBACHER, PLEASE CONSIDER EVERY THING THAT WAS SAID BEFORE, IN THIS LETTER, PLEASE HELP ME IN THIS MATTER. AND THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR HELP. PLEASE WRITE TO ME AS SOON AS YOU POSSIBLY CAN CONCERNING THIS VERY IMPORTANT MATTER.

VERY SINCEPELY YOUUPS

Wm Steinman

WILLIAM S. STEINMAN

WASHINGTON INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

OCEANOGRAPHIC AND PHYSICAL SCIENCES

DR. ROBERT I. SARBACHER
PRESIDENT AND CHAIRMAN OF BOARD

November 29, 1983

Answer
From Dr. Sarbacher
Received 12-5-83
wm Steinman

Mr. William Steinman
15043 Rosalita Drive
La Mirada, California 90638

Dear Mr. Steinman:

I am sorry I have taken so long in answering your letters. However, I have moved my office and have had to make a number of extended trips.

To answer your last question in your letter of October 14, 1983, there is no particular reason I feel I shouldn't or couldn't answer any or all of your questions. I am delighted to answer all of them to the best of my ability.

You listed some of your questions in your letter of September 12th. I will attempt to answer them as you had listed them.

1. Relating to my own experience regarding recovered flying saucers, I had no association with any of the people involved in the recovery and have no knowledge regarding the dates of the recoveries. If I had I would send it to you.

2. Regarding verification that persons you list were involved, I can only say this:

John von Neuman was definitely involved. Dr. Vannever Bush was definitely involved, and I think Dr. Robert Oppenheimer also.

My association with the Research and Development Board under Doctor Compton during the Eisenhower administration was rather limited so that although I had been invited to participate in several discussions associated with the reported recoveries, I could not personally attend the meetings. I am sure that they would have asked Dr. von Braun, and the others that you listed were probably asked and may or may not have attended. This is all I know for sure.

Mr. William Steinman
November 29, 1983 - Page 2

3. I did receive some official reports when I was in my office at the Pentagon but all of these were left there as at the time we were never supposed to take them out of the office.

4. I do not recall receiving any photographs such as you request so I am not in a position to answer.

5. I have to make the same reply as on No. 4.

I recall the interview with Dr. Brenner of the Canadian Embassy. I think the answers I gave him were the ones you listed. Naturally, I was more familiar with the subject matter under discussion, at that time. Actually, I would have been able to give more specific answers had I attended the meetings concerning the subject. You must understand that I took this assignment as a private contribution. We were called "dollar-a-year men." My first responsibility was the maintenance of my own business activity so that my participation was limited.


About the only thing I remember at this time is that certain materials reported to have come from flying saucer crashes were extremely light and very tough. I am sure our laboratories analyzed them very carefully.

There were reports that instruments or people operating these machines were also of very light weight, sufficient to withstand the tremendous deceleration and acceleration associated with their machinery. I remember in talking with some of the people at the office that I got the impression these "aliens" were constructed like certain insects we have observed on earth, wherein because of the low mass the inertial forces involved in operation of these instruments would be quite low.

I still do not know why the high order of classification has been given and why the denial of the existence of these devices.

I am sorry it has taken me so long to reply but I suggest you get in touch with the others who may be directly involved in this program.

Sincerely yours,


Dr. Robert F. Sarbacher

P. S. It occurs to me that Dr. Bush's name is incorrect as you have it. Please check the spelling.

send as I can find it

(4)

CONFIDENTIAL between yourself and myself,
went as follows:

E.W. - Hello

W.S. - Hello, this is William Steinman of Los Angeles, Calif. I am calling in reference to the meetings that you attended at Wright Patterson Airforce Base in around 1949-1950, concerning the military recovery of flying saucers and bodies of occupants. Dr. Robert J. Sarbacher, now deceased, related this to me.

E.W. - Yes, I attended those meetings concerning that subject matter; why do you want to know about that?

W.S. - I believe it is a very important subject. After all we are talking about the actual recovery of a flying saucer not built or constructed on this earth! And furthermore we are talking about bodies of the occupants from the craft who were analyzed. Human like beings, not of this world!!

E.W. - So - what's there to get all excited about? Why all the concern?

W.S. - I am not excited, just very concerned. Here, we are talking about a subject that the U.S. Government

(5)

officially denies, even to the extent of actually debunking the evidence and discrediting the witnesses. Then you sit there and say, "what's there to get all excited about?" and "Why the concern?"

Dr. Vannevar Bush, Dr. D.W. Brank, and others thought it very important and were concerned enough to classify the subject as Above-Top-Secret, in fact as the most highly classified subject in the U.S. Government!!

Did you ever hear of the "MJ-12 Group" and their "Project Majestic-12", which was classified as Top-Secret/majic? I have a copy of President Elect D.D. Eisenhower's briefing paper on that Project, dated Nov. 18, 1952.

E.W. - Yes, I know of MJ-12. I have known of them for 40 years. I believe that you are chasing after and fighting with windmills!!

W.S. - Why do you say that?

E.W. - You are delving into an area that you can do absolutely nothing about. So, why get involved with it or all

⑥

concerned about it? Why don't you just leave it alone and drop it? Forget about it!!

W.S. - I am not going to drop it. I am going all the way with this!!

E.W. - Then when you find out everything about it, what are you going to do?

W.S. - I believe that this entire matter has to be brought to the public's attention. The people should know the Truth!!

E.W. - It's not worth it!! Leave it alone!!

W.S. - Can you remember any of the details pertaining to the recovery operations and subsequent analysis of the Saucers and bodies?

E.W. - I am sure that I have notes concerning those meetings at Wright Patterson AFB. I would have to dig them out and read them over in order to jog my memory.

W.S. - If I write to you, will you please answer in as much detail as you can remember? Further more, could you please xerox those notes and send me a copy?

⑦

E.W. - I might. At least I will keep your letter, will dig out my notes, and will contemplate answering. That the best I can say for now.

W.S. - Well, Dr. , I will write a letter as soon as possible. Thanks for your valuable time. Good-bye.

E.W. - Good-bye.

The very next day, I wrote him a letter and inclosed a copy of the "MJ-12 Document". That was on Sept 1, 1987. During that telephone conversation, Dr. verified one thing to me "The existence of MJ-12" (There has been a lot of debate, as of late, as to the redlity of the 8 Page MJ-12 document and the Cutler Memmo from the national archives in Washington D.C.).

If, Dr. writes to me at all, and further more if he substantiates the 8 pages of MJ-12, we will have taken one more giant step forward. If he sends me xerox copies of his Wright Patterson

Notes on discussion with Sarbacher. 8/5/85

305-833-1116

333 Sunset Ave, Palm Beach

150 Australian Ave, Palm Beach

I explained to him that I was interested in UFOs from the scientific and historical standpoint. I couldn't locate records because of changes in recording systems. I explained the problems of archival research. He got the point that we need a place to start....project name, code number, person, etc.

He said Vannevar Bush would be a great help if still alive...asked if he died. Said Bush was science advisor to Truman.

He said the people who were associated with the Research and Development Board (RDB) have scattered over the world. Working for the RDB was not a regular job for him. The RDB had a lot of power in those days, like a little Presidential Cabinet. That's why it was a dollar a year job (for him) (note: gave him prestige and also a clearance). Run by Carl Compton, former president of MIT, good friend of Eisenhower. It was set up by him. That is what OI was associated with around 1950 or a little later, he says.

Regarding himself: "There is something I should have done but didn't do. I had had a request to visit a site... (thinks request came from Wright Field or that the site was Wright Field)...regarding a fallen..a UFO and at that time could not go....tied up with personal business. But some of the men did go. Our headquarters were in old Navy temporary buildings on Constitution Ave. Some of the men went. I went to the office some time after they got back and talked to some of the guys who saw it. I wish I could have gone."

He was a evidently a consultant in missile guidance and worked only occasionally on special problems. He was on the guidance and control committee. He had his own private business.. a small laboratory. He did work for the government from time to time but still had to run his own lab. "I can't remember some of those guys. they were at least 30 years old at the time, maybe some around 40 or 50. Some of them must be around. You should try to contact them."

Bletchman called him after the OMNI article came out and asked if he would be willing to talk to people. He said he was being asked constantly about the stuff in the letter to Steinman. He keeps getting letters constantly from people who write sensational UFO stories. Sometimes they come by and see him. When he gets a chance he answers letters.

I asked him to relate his impression of what the men told

him they saw at Wright Patterson.

"My impression of what the men said who took the trip to Wright Field: the 'people' who operated those things were built different than we, they seemed to have no inertia, seemed to be like insects, maybe they were robots (this seemed to stick particularly in his mind)...that was all that was said .. (or all he could remember).

Problem is to find out who was on the RDB in those days... thinks it was Eisenhower who set it up and was killed by the next president

Thinks it was killed by Johnson.. not Kennedy who was a good friend of Sarbacher... Kennedy better educated... Johnson was nastier and less well educated. Kennedy an all American Boy... Johnson may have killed the RDB. It was a good idea...seems like Republicans have better educated cabinets, more imaginative.

Didn't know Wilburt Smith..or at least didn't seem to remember the name...said there were a lot of guys back then who talked to him...and has never read any UFO book including the ones written by people who have talked to him.

Said he would call me if he thinks of more (I gave number).

(NOTE: He died in the summer of 1986. Never did call back.)

T. Scott Crain, Jr.
P.O. Box 11
Port Matilda, PA 16870

August 16, 1988

Mr. William Steinman
15043 Rosalita Drive
La Mirada, California 90638

Dear Mr. Steinman:

Permit me to introduce myself. My name is Scott Crain and I'm a free-lance researcher, like yourself, investigating UFO crashes that occurred in the late 40s and early 50s in the western part of the United States.

Over the past year, I have been looking into those secret meetings that supposedly took place at Wright-Patterson AFB in which Sarbacher indicated crashed UFOs and aliens were discussed. As you probably know, finding people who attended those meetings is not an easy task.

If you look on a map, you'll find Port Matilda, PA. is located only 10 miles from State College, PA.. Because of my location and interest in this area of research, I was an obvious choice by Grant Cameron, a Canadian researcher/friend of mine, to pursue Dr. Eric Walker, whom you know was executive secretary of the R & D Board at the time, who attended those meetings. Cameron and I know how important it is to us and you not to have this man's name appear in the UFO literature until after we have exhausted all avenues in attempting to obtain his testimony and/or notes from those meetings.

I have corresponded with Walker by letter and phone.

One discovery that has come from our joint research effort was a guy named Darwin, who was also at Wright Field at the time. With the exhaustive research you have done on Sarbacher, I'm sure you must have a file on both Walker and Darwin.


Obviously my files on Walker, papers, photographs, etc., would be helpful in your research.

I was thinking, perhaps, we might be able to work out an exchange of material of what I now have on Walker as well as Darwin, for related materials you may have these and others who attended these meetings at Wright-Patterson AFB.

By the way, your book, UFO CRASH AT AZTEC is a fine piece of work. Even though I'm not so sure the facts you collected always led to the conclusions you came to, no one can question your investigative research ability. The raw background data you supplied, as well as those documents, was excellent. Clark and Friedman were too harsh in their analysis of your book. Heaven knows why Cooper of UFO (formerly California UFO) hasn't published their promised book review of your work yet. Even MUFON has not given it the coverage it deserves. Their too busy with the Gulf Breeze case, which is a lot more outlandish than some of the reports in your book. In any case, I hope it is selling well. I've recommended it to two other UFO research friends, who both bought it from Arcturus, and are happy with it.

Well, must go for now, I'm off to Ocean City, New Jersey for a couple of days of R & R. Hope to hear from you soon.

Sincerely yours,



T. Scott Crain, Jr.

William Steinman
15043 Rosalita Dr.
La Mirada, Calif.
90638

Grant R. Cameron
649 Silverstone Ave.
Winnipeg, Manitoba
Canada R3T 2V8

April 16, 1988

Dear Grant:

Received your letter of 4-10-88.
Inclosed, you will find a copy of a
letter from Dr. Olavo Fontes of Sao Paulo,
Brazil, to Coral Lorenzen of APRD in
Tucson, Arizona, dated February 27, 1958.
Dr. Fontes, like Wilbert B. Smith, was
interested in the physical evidence of
flying saucers. He is the person who
mailed the Ubatuba Disc Samples to
the Lorenzen's for analysis, in 1957. It
seems as tho those bits of physical
evidence caused quite some concern
by Brazil's Naval Intelligence. This
letter is very important to the ufological
field in that it tells of crashed/recov.
saucers, bodies, attempted contact with
"Aliens" by Intelligence, etc. It also
tells the methods used to silence

an individual who gets too close to the truth and wants to make his findings known publically.

Dr. Olavo Fontes died of a very unusual strain of deadly quick reaction cancer. He died on May 9, 1968, only a few weeks of contracting this deadly disease, at a very early age of 37. Like wise, Wilber B. Smith died at a relatively early age of 50 - something, from cancer. What is going on here?

Please give me Mrs. Guy Swan's address and Telephone #. also that of Senator Margaret Chase Smith.

Yes I would like a copy of Murl Smith's answer to your questions as soon as you get them. Also a copy of that Intelligence officers letter to Mr. Swan as soon as you get it.

Please keep in touch

Very Sincerely

Wm Stearns

February 27, 1958

Dear Coral:

I am going to tell you in this letter the facts related with the startling information reported at the end of my last letter concerning the secret truth about flying saucers. But first I want you to understand that, in spite of the knowledge you are going to have about the UAO-problem, I believe our task and purposes must be the same we had before. We are part of free peoples. Knowledge of secret information concealed by Governments and Armed Forces of our countries is not enough, in my opinion, to satisfy ourselves when this information is hidden from the people—the ones who have the right to know about it. We have no justification to freeze our lips, like Russians peasants at the sight of a commissar, accepting reasons derived from the empty and meaningless judgement of anonymous intelligence officers. They, these faceless creatures who have usurped our rights, cannot be permitted to have a free hand on matters so important for our future—for all of us. Secrecy is something which does not breed security but fear. I have not the slightest interest in what the military might say about this. They do not report anything to us, the people, but to their commanders—who report to nobody (the President of Brazil, for example, knows very little about the whole matter; the same can be said regarding civilian authorities and scientists, at least in my country). Is this the right thing? Can the military take decisions that may affect the future of the whole humankind? Can they be trusted to be given the whole responsibility on UAO-matters? Obviously not. At least in free countries on this side of the Iron Curtain. So, we have to continue our fight against the censors at any cost. Our Mg will be a powerful weapon against their vicious tactics to conceal the truth. You do not realize, Coral, how much of my hopes are concentrated on your book. I am going to get the credit for the Mg story, thanks to your wonderful integrity (I cannot tell you how very grateful I am). But even that it was not so (if I had not the loyal and sincere friends like you, like Jim, like our chemist at Holloman and APRO members who already know), I think that my material still would be given to help. As you and Horacio Gonzales have done, giving your information to Keyhoe who used it in an unethical way. It is funny but I never thought that you might be like him. I trusted you and your group from the very first and decided to send my samples for APRO since the beginning. The possibility of sending them to Keyhoe and NICAP was never considered. I am not disappointed. You were the right people and we are going to get the credit together, Coral. Please, do it so. There is a part for you, too, and I feel you should get it.

Your decision to send the Mg samples to that theoretical physicist was a wise one. If they publicly state that they found the stuff to be extra-terrestrial, we are with our case closed. There is, however, a danger—he will attempt to interest a sufficient number of scientists in it. If one of these scientists already knows the truth, we are lost.... He will report the matter to the authorities immediately and our friend will be put under security restrictions at once. Of course, if no report about the tests is released we will understand what happened. But I fear something worse: a false statement forced by the censors—to distort the truth and to send us in the wrong way. Because of this possibility, we have to take measures to avoid it. So, if they say that the Mg is not extra-terrestrial, or that they didn't find enough evidence to be sure it is, we cannot take such kind of report without a check. The only thing we have to do is to repeat the analysis at some other place. A mass spectrography could be done, for example, through Lex Hebane. He is a chemist, you know, and does not work for the Government. I might send the sample. Another thing you can do is to alert our physicist to be careful in choosing the other scientists; and to give us a warning (using an code word previously selected) that something is wrong. Can you do this? Please, do not think I am seeing ghosts in the air. I didn't understand very much in the past the careful measures you had taken to avoid censors' interference with some of your letters (for me). I know now that you were right—anything can happen.

Thank you for the kind words you used in your letter about myself. It seems that you are thinking I am better than many people. Thanks again, but you are wrong: I have my limitations, too. I know it....

Dr. Maffei was finally found by Auripebo Simões just two days ago. The Campina incident shall be clarified now, I believe. At least I hope so. I will keep you informed about the subject.

LETTER FROM DR. OLAVO FONTES (DECEASED), THE BRAZILIAN APRO REPRESENTATIVE, TO CORAL LORENZEN

So was Mr. Cleto Nunes at first sight. He seemed to have no hurry to discuss the matters that had brought him to my presence. Chatting casually about seemingly trivial, unrelated UAO-topics, we gradually relaxed (Martins and I) and became more expansive. Then Nunes became more friendly and began to explain why he was interested on flying saucers. He said the following: "I am interested since 1949 when I saw a flying saucer coming out from the deeps of the sea (?), climb up through the air at high speed and disappear in a few seconds on the horizon. I saw enough of it to know it was an alien craft of some new type. As I have a brother in the Air Force, I wrote a full account of my experience and gave it to him. He delivered it to the authorities who called me for a personal report. It seems that my sighting was one of the first in Brazil and obviously attracted much curiosity. I had become curious too, and—since that occasion—I am trying to follow the AF investigations on the field. On 1952, I knew about Adamski's first book and got it. It impressed me deeply and enlarged my interest on UFOs, chiefly about "contact-cases"—which I began to investigate particularly. I have already many such cases in my files and I am convinced that, although different in some aspects, all of them share the same general characteristics. These similarities are enough, in my opinion, to prove they are true. But now you came, my dear fellows, with your hostility theory. I was disturbed because I knew you were wrong. So, I decided to meet you and I am going to prove that UFOs are friendly. You may try to prove it otherwise—if you can."

This opening was totally unexpected for us. We had imagined something very different. So, the "mysterious" Mr. Nunes was one more "believer", a kind of flying saucer cultist. I remembered that I had, at that moment, an impulse to finish the talk and ~~say~~ him to go away. Martins seemed also to be worried. But the man had been so courteous and pleasant that we had no choice. At least he was not a mystic and we could try a scientific approach to the problem. And so it was done. We talked freely for twenty minutes.

Then Nunes made his mistake. It was a question he asked: "What proof you have of UFO hostility against Brazilian airplanes? Do you know about some case of aircraft crash here, caused by a flying saucer? Have you any direct evidence, or have you only theories? "

It was a direct question. I looked at his face and noted with surprise that a fine spotting of moisture brightened his upper lip. Only then did I recognize the strain and effort he had invested into the attempt to convince us. There was also a slight tremor in his fingers that only as a doctor I was able to see. Under that relaxed appearance he was very tense and excited. Why? There could be only an answer: he was a mind-prober, playing a skilled game to make us confident and then take advantage to win our defenses.

He ~~was~~ the first play.

Gradually I let my body relax, wondering about the next move. He had already heard all our arguments regarding the pattern outlined through the UAO's reconnaissance of our planet. He also knew about one case of hostility (in our opinion): the crash of the Pan-American "President" in the middle of the amazonic forest, in 1952, destroyed by an explosion of unknown origin. We had told him about the conclusions of the technicians that searched the wreckage of the airliner (which had not been published). But only that....

As a physician I had to learn the ways to get through people's psychological defenses, to explore the deep-down motives of psycho-somatic illness and find the hidden psychic conflicts that cause so many functional symptoms. All doctors, to make a complete study of their patients, have to know how to examine their bodies and how to probe their minds, too. Mind and body are not two different entities, but two poles of the same unit—the patient. I was sure that such a training would be of great help in a situation like that. I also knew that in a game of that kind with so many things to be considered, each with a different value—in fact one set of values for offense, and another for defense—only a brilliant player could keep them all in mind, (if he knew about his opponent alertness, of course). Not knowing about my plans to play the same game against him, Nunes would be unable to cope with a concealed offensive strategy. It would be easy to defeat him, I concluded.

I was right.

He began to give, unknowingly, the answers we needed to confirm many things we had already guessed. He did not suspected that, disguised as statements, very important questions were presented together with casual or unimportant ones, every time there was an opportunity to do so. The following will give a better picture of the game:

"Q. What the Air Force doesn't know: on the same night of the "President" disaster, a solitary UFO was sighted in the same region, at least from one town very close to the route it was traveling (the airliner). See this telegram from Dorcas do Indaia, Minas Gerais. What do you think about this? (I showed him the telegram)"

"A. We already know about it. The Air Force received many reports from different places about this UFO, described as "a bright-red fireball with erratic maneuvers". But such a thing doesn't prove your point. No one witnessed any hostile maneuver against the plane; at least there was no reports about it.
(I agreed with him. The ones that might have witnessed the scene were dead and couldn't report anything. They were the passengers and crew of the airliner, killed in the crash)

"Q. You said that US Armed Forces are not interested on flying saucers and even don't believe in their existence. On the other side, American officers from the American Military Mission had been seen (together with Brazilian officers) in the investigation of several November's military sightings. Perhaps you don't know about it, but I can show you at least three such cases. How do you explain that?

"A. Yes, I know about the fact. They are really very interested on our sightings. I can't explain it. Maybe they are doing a personal investigation: maybe they like it as a "hobby"—a good thing to do when you are in a foreign country. What counts, in fact, is the official attitude of US Air Force. This is my opinion."

We talked for three hours. Mr. Cleto Nunes answered many questions like the ones above. I had to give him some information too, of course, to keep him relaxed and interested—but nothing really important. From what he said, it became apparent that he had followed the AF investigation of the more important military sightings in the 1954 "flap"; that he knew personally many of the witnesses: that he had many "friends", many "fellows", who had investigated "this case", "that incident", etc, etc.; that he had been present at meetings with AF's high-staff officers to discuss UAO-problems.

To show him that I wasn't suspecting anything, I reacted to that last statement with the question that was to be expected from a person off the track: "How could they have permitted your presence at meetings about "classified matters"? You are a civilian, I know." He explained that such a privilege had been granted, in his opinion, because his brother was a Lieut.-Colonel in the AF and because he had reported one of the first Brazilian case. I "believed it", of course.

He showed interest in our opinion about the possibility of UAO bases in the bottom of the seas (?). One of his "friends" had seen, from a high place (probably from a jet plane), a flying saucer emerging from the waters of the Rodrigo de Freitas Lagoon (this lagoon is placed in the center of Rio's south districts). He wanted to know if we had any similar incident in our files (we had not). He also showed us his collection of newspaper clippings about UAOs, which seemed to be very complete. And there was an amazing photo of a luminous egg-shaped object, taken by another of his friends. This UFO looked like an orange star at naked-eye and was seen in the negative as a small point of light; but enlarged 40 times it appeared as seen in the photo. I asked for a copy of it and offered in exchange the photos taken by Dr. Grecco (which I am enclosing for you too). He was interested and agreed with my proposition.

At the end, he said a strange thing: "I would like to take a look at the fragments you have of the small flying disk which exploded near Ubatuba". This time I couldn't control my surprise. Why the word "small"? I asked for an explanation and he told me that he had known it from the letter received by Mr. Ibrahim Suod. He was not telling the truth; the letter said nothing about the disk's size. I also observed another strange thing: he wanted to see only the samples, the report of the analysis did not attract his interest any time. Why? Because he already knew what was in the samples, in my opinion. I believe he was only checking if my samples were similar to the ones he had seen elsewhere.

Anyway, two days after our interview Mr. Nunes traveled to São Paulo city. He said to Martins he was going to meet his "brother" at the AF Base of Cumbica. In my opinion, he was going to report that my samples of the Ubatuba's disk were genuine. Incidentally, Cumbica is one of the two AF Bases close to the Ubatuba area.

I liked the pleasant Mr. Nunes. We can't be friends because we are fighting in opposing fields. It is a pity.... He really is an AF Intelligence officer, not a civilian. A very good friend I have in the AF unknowingly checked the matter at my request. There is no doubt about that.

I have now the copy of his photo. He gave me it a few days ago. He does not realize what I know about it: that it is a "classified photo of an UFO". No one knows about it outside the AF.

It seems that I was the winner of the second play. But the game is not finished yet. They are going to try another play as soon as possible. I am expecting it....

I began to understand that my opponent was more dangerous than he seemed. Always he appeared to be watching. Somehow I felt the impression that he knew I was trying to get information from him and was pleased with the thing. I sweated and tried another approach. He began to watch me with amusement and started to play down the whole saucer business. When he explained how he was informed that the Russians had built jet-powered flying saucers two years ago—and that one of those craft probably was searching for US guided missiles in the Trindade Island and had been spotted and photographed—I saw that he was teasing me. Then I decided to play my last card.

I laughed at those words. "You are losing your time, Commander Brandão. I am not such a fool.... If you want to conceal the truth about flying saucers, please try a better explanation", not this one. It seems that you didn't learn anything from Dr. Menzel's book on the subject. Or from the top-secret reports you have received from the US Navy."

"I never received any report of this kind", he said. "Who told you such a lie?"

"I forgot his name. I know it is not a lie, and I also understand your position. You can't release classified information to civilians. But, on the other side, I am not here to hear hollow explanations that don't mean anything at all. The Navy is free to draw what conclusions it likes about UAOs. They are not entitled, however, to treat civilian questions as a mere nuisance—as you are doing with my questions. I want you to understand one thing: I already know the answers you are trying to conceal. As well as the Navy, I know that flying saucers are craft from another planet, that they have little men in them and that they mean to do us harm. Is that clear?"

He said nothing. Then I thanked him for showing me the photos and let myself out. I was beaten.

.....

The same day two men came to my office. The time was 6:30 p.m. My nurse had left a few minutes before—and I was alone. They showed their credentials: they were intelligence officers from the Navy. They said that they were there because of my visit to the Navy Ministry, that morning. Their attitude appeared to be friendly, but their first words gave me the feeling they were trying to scare me somehow.

"You know too much about things you weren't entitled to know", said one of them. "We don't like that. Besides, your activities concerning those fragments you possess are very undesirable and might be dangerous to you. We would like to warn you to stop all your investigations connected with these fragments. We request also the samples in your hands to be delivered to us as soon as possible...."

I interrupted him at that moment. I was not frightened, but angry—and trying hard to control my temper. "My dear friend", I said, "I'm afraid you don't have any conception of what country is this. This is a free country and you aren't entitled to say what I can or can't do. If you came here to throat me, you can stop now and get out through that door. And tell your military chiefs I have no fear of their ridiculous menaces. I also have friends in the armed forces and elsewhere, and I know they have no power to interfere legally with my activities."

He changed his attitude instantly. "You misunderstood my words", he answered. "I am only giving you an advice. You may follow it or not, of course. I want you to understand that our visit is unofficial and private. It seems that you have discovered highly important information regarding flying saucers, but we think you don't know the whole picture. We are going to place at your disposal certain information and advice of great interest to you. In exchange, we want your cooperation on certain matters. As a civilian investigator, you certainly have many sources of information which avoid to report anything to the military, on the subject. We request your help to correct this situation, reporting all information received to us—chiefly about sightings and landings of UFOs in our country. Our organization is a small one and we need civilian help. In some other countries the situation is different and they can refuse civilian cooperation—you probably know it; it is perhaps the reason of your surprise and your hostile attitude. You can relax now."

I decided to accept his explanation and discuss the information they wanted to report. I am not convinced, however, that I misunderstood his first words. On the contrary, I believe they wouldn't use this friendly approach if they had me scared since the first moment. But that tactic failed and they had to change their methods to a more kind attitude.

In discussions which lasted for almost two hours they disclosed the following information about the flying saucer problem:

PLEASE NOTE THESE 11 POINTS

(1) They told me that all governments and military authorities through the world know that flying saucers exist—and that they are craft from another planet. They have absolute proof of both things.

(2) As a matter of fact, six flying disks already crashed on this earth and were captured and taken apart by military forces and scientists of the countries involved—under the most rigid and ruthless security restrictions to keep the matter absolutely secret. One of those disks crashed in the Sahara desert but was too much destroyed to be of some use. Three others crashed in the United States, two of them in very good conditions. The fifth crashed somewhere in the British Islands, and the last one came down at one of the Scandinavian countries; these two were almost undamaged too. All these six disks were small craft — 32, 72 or 99 feet in diameter. In all of them were found bodies of members of their crews. They were "little men" and ranged in height from about 32 to 46 inches. They were dead in all cases, killed in the disasters. The examination of the bodies showed they were definitely "humanoid"—but obviously not from this planet. In some cases the cause of the crash was determined with accuracy; it wasn't apparent in the other. All ships had the general shape of a saucer with a cabin on the top; all of them were made of a very light metal which was assembled in segments that fitted in deep grooves and were pinned together around the base. There was no sign of this on the outer ~~the~~ surface of the ships. Some of the craft had portholes made of an unknown type of glass: many kinds of unknown materials were found inside the ships.

(3) Examination of instruments and devices found aboard these disks showed that they are propelled by an extremely powerful electro-magnetic field. Evidence shows it is a rotating and oscillating high voltage electro-magnetic field. Such a kind of field obviously produces some type of gravity effect yet not understood.

(4) All ships were carefully dismantled and studied. Unfortunately the more important problem was not solved: how these fields were produced and what was the source of the tremendous amount of electric energy released through these fields. No clues were found in any of the disks examined. Apparently they got their power from nowhere. There is, on the other hand, evidence that large UFOs use some type of atomic engines as power-source suggests that they are able to transmit electric power through radio beams as we now send it through wires. Some of the devices found inside of the small disks could well serve to receive and to concentrate the electric power coming this way. If this is right, a nuclear power-plant operated on a ship or satellite of large dimensions, placed outside our atmosphere, could feed electrical power to a whole fleet of smaller craft operating inside our atmosphere. None of these UFOs of greater size was captured till now.

(5) Our scientists could built a ship propelled by a similar rotating and oscillating electro-magnetic field—if they knew some method to change the energy released into a nuclear-reactor directly into electric power. The problem is not solved yet.

(6) These visitors from outer space are dangerous when approached and definitely hostile when attacked. We have already lost many planes ~~ix~~ attempting to shot down one of them. We have no defense against them till now. They outperform easily any of our fighters, ~~which~~ which have no chance against them. Guided missiles are also useless; they can fly still faster than any of them and can even maneuver around them, as if they were toys; or they can interfere with their electronic instruments and make them useless soon after launched; or, if they like, they can explode them before they reach their proximities. They have produced the crash of military planes (propeller or jet type) and airliners by stalling their engines through interference with their electric systems (we don't know yet if this is a side effect of their powerful magnetic field, or the result of some kind of weapon—possibly a high frequency beam of some sort. They have also a horribly destructive long-range weapon which has been used mercilessly against our jet-fighters. In one case, for example, an US Navy interceptor with a crew of two, scrambled to go after an UFO. Their mission was, as usual, to make it land or to shot down it—if necessary. They used their guns. The answer was immediate and terrifying: instantly all metallic parts of their planes was disintegrated, disrupted into thousands of fragments, and they found themselves suddenly seated in the air (non-metallic pieces or objects weren't affected by the phenomenon); one of them was killed but the other lived to tell the story. We have evidence that this tremendous weapon is an ultra-sonic beam of some sort, which disrupts the molecular cohesion of any metallic structure. They have means to paralyse our radar system too, to interfere with our radio and television apparatus, and to short-circuit our electric power-plants.

(7) They ^(have) ~~are~~ not showed, till now, any interest in contacting us. They are obviously preparing a planet-wide huge military operation to interfere against us. We don't know what kind of operation will be this. There are, however, three possibilities: (a) total war followed by mass-landings, to destroy our power, slave the remnant of our people and colonize the planet; (b) police-action to stop our plans for the conquest of space, and to avoid

our dangerous progress in the field of atomic-weapons; this would involve mass-landings at strategic points with occupation by force of limited areas of vital interest for their purposes; (c) "friendly interference" (followed by military intimidation) to make us agree with their plans for us—whatever they can be—avoiding open war or any other kind of direct interference; patrolling and eventual police-action only outside our atmosphere.

(8) All military authorities and governments through the world are informed about the situation. There is an exchange of information through intelligence services and top-secret military conferences are held periodically to discuss new developments on the subject. The Brazilian Navy, for example, receives monthly classified reports from the US Navy and send back to them any information available here. A similar contact exists among our Army and Air Force and several (similar) military organizations on other countries. Here in Brazil only the persons who work in the problem know the real situation: intelligence officers in the Army, Navy and Air Force; some high-rank officers in the High Command; the National Security Council and a few scientists whose activities are connected with it; and a few members of certain civilian organizations doing research for military Projects.

(9) All information about the UFO-subject from military is not only classified or reserved for official use: it is top-secret. Civilian authorities and military officers in general are not entitled to know. Even our President is not informed of the whole truth.

(10) Military authorities through the world agreed that the people is not entitled to know anything about the problem. Some military groups believe that such a knowledge would be a tremendous shock—enough to paralyse the life in our countries for many years in the future. On the other side, they believe that flying saucer reconnaissance (as it is now) might last other 10 years—the people couldn't be controlled for so long a time and the danger of uncontrolled panic would be high. Besides, the probability of UFO-hostile interference (described on item 7) is still estimated as 90 per cent; there is yet a 10 per cent probability that their hostility is only a consequence of our attacks against their ships; because of this possibility, we are attempting now to make them aware that we would like to make a peaceful contact—so, the orders (now) are to avoid any further attack against their craft. This policy has been adopted generally, with the exception of some countries which still have fools in their Air Forces—who think otherwise. We don't know if UFOs will react to these measures recently put under operation. We still hope they will.

(11) To conceal the truth from the public, a carefully planned censorship is under operation for several years. The policy to debunk the whole saucer-subject is the better weapon we are using for this purpose. Ridicule is an efficient tool against most people who attempt to inform the public but other measures are sometimes necessary. Chiefly against persons who possess evidence that, if published, could open the eyes of the people. In some countries, force has been used to silence some of them. When this is not possible, all tricks had been used to make their evidence useless. In a few cases, unfortunately, violence had to be used; we regret this but we have no choice. We are going to keep this thing secret at any cost. We are not interested in the so-called "inalienable rights" of the people. Right or wrong, we—the military—are going to do our job and no one is going to stop us.

.....

So I have come to the end of my story, Coral. You can refuse to believe it. I have no proof to convince you. My only evidence is the story in itself, which I reported with all details. There is, of course, the possibility that those Navy intelligence officers (I don't know their names, they didn't identify themselves) weren't telling the truth. But I could see no useful purpose in doing this. Besides, I already suspected all things they told me. The only information that surprised me was the one related with the ultra-sonic weapon to destroy our planes; I never heard anything about it before. I believe it was used against Mantell's plane, if it really exists.

I do not trust military people. They exchanged their information for a small bit of our Mg. I told them nothing about our plans, of course. They got only the sample they wanted. They promised to tell me about the results of their analyses, but only particular-ly. They advised me not to connect the Navy with anything regarding that sample; they would deny it, they would call me a liar and ridicule my statements—if I tried to do so. Official-ly, they are not interested, they emphasized, and do not intend to release any official pro-nouncement on this subject—or any other, connected with the UAO-problem. They also warned me not to publish the information they had given me: "What we have told you will be denied," said one of them. "We will deny also that we know you or ever contacted you. You will be called a liar or, if you persist, a crackpot. As you have nothing to prove you are telling the truth, no one would believe you."

They are right, unfortunately. Under the circumstances, to publish what they revealed, knowing not only that I would be ridiculed, but also knowing who would do the ridiculing and not having ~~the~~ means to fight their vicious attack, is to be a fool. I am not going to be such a fool, of course. But I can do other things they aren't expecting; for example, to pass their information ~~x~~ to people who can use it, too. At least to understand certain attitudes and statements of the US Air Force, that only have contributed to cause confusion in the field of UFO-research: it is not simple confusion, but organized confusion. Or to clear certain mysteries connected with UAO-research and UAO-investigators.

As the mystery of Al Bender and his strange visitors. He was obviously silenced by W intelligence officers from the US government, who probably told him the same information I have got—with the difference that in your country they can apply more pressure against the ones who know too much.... I read again Gray Barker's book and saw many things I didn't see before. I also remembered the story told by Scully about the disks that crashed in your country. I believe now that he told the truth. It is evident that the details given to me and the ones described in Scully's book are identical—they belong to the same disks. Unless that book helped my Navy "friends" in the description of imaginary disks, that exist only in their minds. It could be...But it seems a remote possibility, under the circumstances already reported.

If the things are as bad as they look, Coral, we have only a thing to do: to continue our fight to get the truth in the open. I will not submit to the conspiracy of silence, as others seem to have done. I still believe that the people, the ones who would be on the receiving end of ~~x~~ a possible invasion from space, shall be told. I don't think they are unable to stand the truth—as it was suggested. I believe they will stand it because humans are not bent easily. After the first shock, everyone would work to help something in the measures taken against the imminent danger. Only the cowards would be paralyzed by fear. The great majority would approve the decision to fight at any cost, with hope or with no hope. It is better to be dead than to become slaves of animals from another planet.

I don't believe that we have no defense against them. They can smash our aerial defenses with ease, I know. But after landing they will have to fight on the ground. Then they will have to fight also against our gravity, our atmosphere, our weather and many other things. They will move slowly and will be easy targets. Then we can fight them, I believe. But only if we are prepared—as well as was the English people when Hitler's invasion was imminent. How can this be done, however, if no one is alerted? We should already have stopped our petty "cold war". Underground refuges, fortifications, plants, arsenals and other places should have been built everywhere. Power-plants should have been insulated with refractive materials to prevent any interference from magnetic fields or high-frequency beams. If motors with electric systems can be stalled, diesel motors should be used, at least for military purposes. Nylon-suits could protect our bodies against magnetic influences, ~~or~~ radiation, heat, or death rays. Metallic vehicles, guns and missiles could be protected against sonic disintegration, if coated by fiberglass or other sonic absorbers. New weapons and defenses could be found also, by scientists and technicians working in every country to solve the problem. And many other things.

But the military decided to do nothing. If saucers are hostile, they solve the problem by concealing the truth from the people. Only that. Why? Maybe because they are the ones who cannot stand the truth regarding the origin of UAO-hostility against us. It is highly probable that their orders to shot down flying saucers changed them against us. If so, those man who opened the Pandora box are the ones who are frightened and decided to hide their mistakes at any cost—in spite of the possible consequences for us.

We have no other alternative than to fight their policy of silence, Coral. As we have done till now.

Please, forgive this letter. I have written too much—more than it was necessary perhaps. But I had to tell everything. In fact, this is more a report than a letter, an account of things that would be placed better in a science-fiction tale than in the real life. With the information included here you can perhaps get some more facts from other sources. But be careful in your investigations. I do not wish to get you into trouble.

Send my regards to Jim and my best wishes for your family. I hope you are enjoying good health, and so the Lorenzen family.

Sincerely,

Olavo

P.S: I am preparing a complete report about the Trindade Island's photographs; I will send it as soon as possible.

I am sending also (now) another envelope enclosing the articles of João Martins, the photos of Almirante Baraúna, and copies of the ones taken by E. Green.

PLEASE
NOTE

February 19, 1958

Dear Gerald:

Please forgive the long delay in answering your letter of January 9. I was engaged on a dangerous game with some of our enemies which needed a concentration of all my energies. It was something like a chess game and a single mistake would be the end.... Besides, I wished to tell you the complete story—not a part of it. This game is over now. I got what I wanted. You are going to get one of the greatest surprises of your life when I tell you what I have uncovered. It will be in this letter, but I am going to make first brief comments about some other matters.

First, I want you to know that I accept your proposition about the share of your book profits. I promised to do so if you maintained your generous offer. You said (in your letter referred above) that I was to understand that you cannot ethically publish the book unless I received my just share—all magazine rights for the Ubatuba incident and an equitable share of the book profits. O.K., I will do it the way you want. Your offer is sincere and ethical reasons can't be discussed. I understand and I am very pleased to have friends like Mrs. Loreauxen. Thank you again, I am indebted to you. I want you to understand, on the other side, that you are the one that will decide about what this first share shall be—not me, for ethical reasons of my own. All right?

The information that my report will be presented as I wrote it, everything intact (except for a little editing on the English), was delightful—and a just retribution for my work. I also take it as a clear indication that the article has your approval, that you liked it too. I am relieved and happy, now, but I believe I will learn the lesson—for the future. Reports of that kind, about metals from any source (including UAOs) shall be written by the right persons: chemists or metallurgists—not by physicians like myself.

I was going to ask about the last developments regarding the book, but I just received (today) your note of February 10 which made it unnecessary. Keep me informed also about the findings of any analysis made in your country (the analysis of the mass for example). The chemists who done the ones here are very interested and I promised to keep them informed.

Don't you worry about Harnett. I will not release any more information to him. His last letter will not be answered (the one of December 17). The quotes from his letters to you were enough for me. They show what I was beginning to suspect: he is a man of many faces who was speaking with a lying tongue (as you said) to get samples out of me. A smart game, no doubt, but a man who acts this way obviously can't be trusted any more....

You have seen the clipping about the Grand Forks, North Dakota, incident—which appeared to be of some interest for our studies. Now there is another similar incident, which happened on November 13, 1957. You probably know about it, because it was reported in the last number of NICAP's bulletin (The UFO Investigator, No. 3, pages 5-6). The burned metal piece found on the grounds of the State Hospital, Crownsville, Maryland, after the explosion of the strange aerial object in the sky, was covered by a metallic ash and looked like magnesium to one of the physicians from the hospital who also saw it. One more case... I believe that it can be used even in the absence of information about the analysis made by ATIC's chemists. The Air Force evidently will not release any thing about their findings. Maybe a contact with Mr. Lou Corbin can give some more information. Do you know him?

blank

You think my Mg is the answer we have all looked for for so long. I believe you are right. Don't make, however, the assumption that the US Air Force will recognize that saucers exist and are craft from another planet—on the basis of our evidence. They (the authorities) will realize that our proof is valid, but they will not admit this fact. They will repudiate our analyses, they will reject our conclusions and they will fight us with all forces, using any kind of trick to destroy our reputation. You are expecting they will perhaps act like this; civilian advisers at Holloman say the same; and they will, in fact, because they have no other alternative. I know....

I am going to tell you something extremely important, the secret so carefully concealed all these years by the authorities all over the world: the truth about the flying saucer problem. I don't know if you are going to believe me. I have to take the risk because the thing is too important (and dangerous) to be kept only by one person. And we are going together to fight the people who think the Truth must be buried at any cost, as if it was their property. So, you have to know.... I am going to tell the whole story, with all details I can remember about it.

I) The starting point:

A few weeks after my article "We have visitors from outer space" had appeared in the July's APRO-Bulletin, I was phoned by a friend (Nelson Vidal, a physician), who told I was going to be contacted by Mr. João Martins, the well-known reporter from the magazine O CRUZEIRO, who have been told about it and was very interested to hear what I knew regarding the Campinas incident. I agreed to meet him, interested because of his knowledge on UAOs. After the first encounter, I got the impression that he was an intelligent, honest and responsible person, who was trying to make a serious approach to the problem. He was planning to publish a few articles reviewing the flying saucer situation since 1954 (when his last articles about the subject had been written). He needed, however, a case with something more solid than eye-witness accounts, to be included. He read my description of the Campinas case and asked permission to use it, everything intact, giving credit for APRO and the author. It was granted. Other meetings soon followed—to discuss the whole UAO problem—and we became very good friends. Then came the day when we began to speculate about the purposes behind the flying saucer survey. Martins had collected a large amount of information through five years of research, interviewing personally many witnesses here and in other countries (Argentina, USA, France, etc) and receiving letters from UAO-sighters in Brazil and Spanish South America countries. He had not formed his own opinion yet, perhaps because a full evaluation of his data was still to be done. He had never considered before the hostility angle and was startled when I told him what I had concluded from the available evidence: that UAOs are hostile and obviously doing a military survey, as the first step for a future invasion to take by force what they want. For many days we discussed all data I had accumulated in my files, directly or indirectly suggesting this possibility. He attempted at first to contest it, trying to prove that the theory of "a scientific survey and exploration" was more probable. But the evidence I showed him was overwhelming and explained all the points that didn't fit in any other hypothesis. At the end, he was more convinced than myself....In fact, he was so impressed that decided to discuss openly the whole thing in his articles—to open the eyes of the public regarding the flying saucer menace. He asked for my help in the planning and writing of the series articles, and also as technical and scientific adviser. I helped with my files too. We concluded that the best way was to present a full study of the UAO-problem as it appears today—on the basis of the solid body of factual data so far recorded—to fill the huge gap that exists between public knowledge of UAOs and the knowledge most Ufologists have, and to emphasize that the important thing to be discussed was not the sincerity or falseness of the so-called "contact-cases"; the real questions to be answered being the following: Why are they here? What they want? Why the silence of the authorities and their attempts to debunk the best sightings? And why the security measures, the censorship around military sightings, the secret investigations, the "police actions" against UAOs?

Six articles were written by Martins. The first studied the differences between "contact stories" and "little men reports"; the second reviewed the best Brazilian sightings, including the Campinas incident; the third showed how UAOs are investigated by US Air Force (according to Ruppelt's book) and summarized the best "classic sightings" through the world; the fourth analysed the military pattern of UAO's survey and described the disturbing series of aircraft crashes in which there is evidence of UAOs interference; the fifth reported the strange happenings on the Moon, the sightings by astronomers and the evidence suggesting the existence of UAO's artificial satellites around the Earth; the sixth discussed and rejected all other theories about UAO's purposes—showing why the conclusion that they are a menace was the only alternative left.

The whole thing was published in O CRUZEIRO, our best magazine (almost one million copies), between October 12 and November 16. I am enclosing a copy of these articles for your files. As you don't understand Portuguese, the details given above will be of some help to show the general picture of each one of them. There is a reference to APRO and its purposes (page 12); and also a very kind comment about myself and my work on UAO research (he tried to express publicly his gratitude for the help given in the articles).

II) The first results:

What came next proved we have done a very good job to put the flying saucer problem on the right place. Never before the matter attracted the attention of so many responsible persons through my country. The impact on the public was tremendous, of course, as showed the thousands and thousands of letters received from all places. Some of the correspondents were frightened by the possible menace, but the majority accepted it calmly as if they already suspected this was the obvious explanation for the attitude of authorities and armed forces through the world. We didn't expect this reaction. We also discovered the following things: (1) the public is more interested and knows more about UAOs than it was expected; (2) hundreds of sightings never reach the press because of fear of ridicule, but witnesses accept to report their experiences by letter—if they know about people really interested to study the problem; (3) the number of "secret-believers" in UAOs reality is

far above any estimation based on data concerning the "known-believers"; (4) the danger of uncontrolled panic or mass-hysteria appears to be less important than it was estimated before--most people tried to face the problem soberly, controlling their emotions. (These conclusions are not final, of course, and refer only to my country)

The authorities didn't try to stop the publication of the articles, or to deny the whole matter denouncing Martins as a liar or crackpot. They said nothing at the time, perhaps because the three last articles (the more impressive, regarding the hostility hypothesis) came on November 2, 9 and 16--together with the November "flap". They were too worried to do anything, I believe. The official science also gave no signs of life. No scientist appeared to attack or to discuss Martins' conclusions; apparently they were not interested.... The press (with the exception of a magazine of popular science) did not comment on our theories (a surprise) and even avoided to discuss the material included in the articles; apparently they considered it "too hot to be handled" at that time. But, on the other side, there was a great change in their attitude about UAO-sightings in this country; in fact, the November-December sightings were reported by newspapers in a sober and factual style.

I am giving so many details to make you feel the atmosphere created by the articles of my friend Martins. It will help on the understanding of the rest.... We were satisfied with the successful development of our plans. The people had been alerted about the UAO-menace and the possibility of a flying saucer attack. The warning had come before and during the worst UAO-flap in the records and--because of this--it was taken seriously by many people who never had given attention to the problem in the past. Besides, we had shifted the tide to our side: now those who used to debunk and ridicule sightings and witnesses were the ones to be careful--their task was not so easy as before.

Then came the first surprise. A few days after the publication of the last article, Martins was called by the US Embassy. There, he was congratulated for the quality of his work (it seems incredible but it is true) and it was "suggested" that an exchange of information about the subject was desirable (???)--under certain conditions (not specified). We considered the proposition and concluded that they couldn't risk to release classified information to a newspaperman, even from another country; the thing was an obvious maneuver to discover what he knew about the matter, not revealed in his articles. Martins cordially refused to take the offer.

The second surprise came soon afterwards. Martins was called by Colonel Adil de Oliveira, former chief of Brazilian Air Force's "UAOs-Research Group" and still working on the problem. The Colonel said the following:

"Congratulations for a job well done. Keep up the good work. About your conclusions: if the saucer people had a mind similar to ours you are right--they are hostile; but I would not have published this--as you have done--because their psychology may be alien, entirely different from ours. If this is the case, your interpretation of their behavior and purposes is only a guess--and probably a wrong one."

Colonel Adil is a friend of Martins. He never released to him, however, classified information about UAOs--even in cases already known. But he is also a honest man, unable to distort the truth even on matters of military interest. We think that his curious comment was carefully planned and says more than it seems. He expected something very different; an angry refutation of our points (or something like), or even a public statement denying the whole thing.

The next move came from a totally unexpected source--the Brazilian Army.

A telegram came from Salvador, in the State of Bahia. It was signed by Brigadier-General João de Almeidax Freitas, the Commander of the Sixth Military Region, and also by Lieutenant-Colonel Silvio Frota, Commander of G.P.C.R (military school for Army officers). They invited Martins to present his data and conclusions about UAO's hostility in a conference, at Salvador. They said that high-staff officers from the Army, Navy and Air Force at the Sixth Military Region were very interested on the matter--and would like to discuss the problem with him. Martins accepted, of course, and the conference was held on November 29, 1957.

Feb. 20 - There are many things to be told before the end, Corral. But I just received your letter of February 14 (which will be answered tomorrow). Because of it, to give an answer, I am stopping my report at this point. The rest will be told in the next letter. You will see how I broke the security curtain around the UAO-subject and saw proof that authorities and armed forces know that UAOs are craft from outer-space and are deeply worried about them; that they have proof of UAO's hostility; that many jets had been lost when trying to shot down UAOs; that there is no defense against them, now--but there is hope that an effective weapon will be discovered at any moment by one of the thousands of scientists working on the problem through the world; and that a rigid and ruthless censorship has been applied to keep the secret buried inside military circles (a dangerous mistake, in my opinion). Send all information to Mrs. Kulin illness--when you have it. Let's see what I can do. I hope you are enjoying good health and my best wishes for the coming year to you and your children. Sincerely

We can go back now to the facts I was reporting in my previous letter--beginning from the point I stopped there. In the sake of the exposition and to keep trying to arrange the things in the proper order of the events (as it happened), I will continue to separate the whole into parts. Here goes the third one:

III) The reason for the Brazilian Army interest on the UAO-problem:

When Martins was requested officially by the Sixth Military Region commander to present his data and conclusions in a conference at Salvador, capital of the State of Bahia, we already knew that a new and disturbing pattern--never observed before at any country--had started after the launching of Sputnik II. In fact, a methodical study of Brazilian terrestrial defenses by unidentified aerial craft was apparently being developed and carefully executed. It wasn't a question of accidental sightings here and there, but a country-wide survey that couldn't be dismissed. There was, of course, the same interest shown in the past by those alien craft towards airfields, Air Force Bases and airlines. But there was also this distressing and significant new type of operation. So, a number of Army fortified places, fortresses, quarters and armament-plants had been--since Nov. 4--the object of a "close study" by unknown flying objects. The following Army Bases were already "visited": (1)-Army quarters and fortifications at Santa Maria, Rio Grande do Sul; (2)-Army's 2nd B.C.C.L. base at Santo Angelo, Rio Grande do Sul; this "visitation" was made on Nov. 4--and the preceding one on Nov. 7;-(3)-Army's 14th R.I. fortifications and quarters at Recife, Pernambuco; in this case the UAO came down quietly to an altitude of only 90 feet and concentrated a powerful green searchlight over the weapons' arsenal--and was watched for 40 minutes by frightened soldiers and officers; it happened on Nov. 18; (4)-the garrisons placed was again observed on Nov. 27 by a similar UAO; (5)-Army's Itaipu Fortress at the town of Santos, Sao Paulo, on Nov. 5 or 6; this incident was kept secret till now, but I got a full report about it; the UAO, a huge flying disk came down and stopped in mid-air at only 65 feet over the place, for a few minutes; one of the sentinels collapsed under the heat irradiated from the disk and another was scared beyond reason--and there was interference with electrical motors and instruments all-over the place; (6)-Army's 1st Battalion Mobile Infantry Headquarters at Volta Redonda, Rio de Janeiro, in the middle of November; (7)-Army's Itoiro Regiment Headquarters at Lorena, Sao Paulo; this incident happened on Nov. and the UAO (a flying disk) seems to have landed for a few moments; (8)- Army Plant of Piquete (which manufactures Army weapons), also in the town of Lorena, Sao Paulo, in another occasion (in November--date unknown);(9)- Army's Sixth Military Region Q.G. (General Headquarters) at Salvador, Bahia; this incident took place on Dec. 6 --after Martins' conference there; (10)- Army's 2nd B.C. Headquarters in the town of São Vicente, São Paulo, on Dec. 12, 1947.

The above incidents, excepting the Itaipu Fortress case, were reported by the Brazilian. They constitute a disturbing sequence, showing a shift on UAO's interest. Obviously the new pattern worried Army General Staff and a secret investigation of the problem was started by Army's Second Section (the Brazilian Army Intelligence Department). So far there is no report about the findings of this investigation, which were withheld for reasons of security. In fact, any information about the matter is classified as "secret and confidential". Even so, some of that information came to my hands--including a secret case that nobody knows.

This situation evidently explains the Army interest in Martins' conclusions about UAO-hostility. On November 29, 1957, the conference was held before a selected military audience, at the Geographic and Histories Institute of Salvador. Brigadier-General João de Almeida Freitas, commander of the Sixth Military Region, was the chairman. The board controlling the debates included the following military authorities: Colonel Bendoek Alves, commander of the Pirajá Battalion; Admiral Otavio da Silveira Carneiro, commander of the 2nd Naval District; Colonel-Aviator Afonso Colso Parreiras Horta, commander of the Salvador AF Base.

To a quiet and motionless audience, Martins talked about the flying saucer problem, gradually presenting the data we had collected to prove our point. At the end a pattern was clearly outlined, suggesting a carefully planned systematic reconnaissance of our planet--obviously with military purposes--to study our communication and transport patterns, strategic points, military bases for defense purposes and atomic power-plants; the first measures to prepare and perform mass-landings in the future, as anyone can see. If there was only the purpose to make peaceful contacts they evidently would act in a very different way.

After the conference there was half-an-hour of discussion. The questions that came from the audience were not concerned with UAOs existence--there was no doubt about it; they concentrated on the problem of UAOs hostility or benevolence. One thing became evident for itself: friends or foes, UAOs were seriously considered by the audience.

The meeting was closed by Brigadier-General Almeida Freitas, who made some comments showing clearly that he also admitted the possibility of hostility by "unconventional aerial objects".

A report about this important event was printed in the magazine "O CRUZEIRO" on February 22, 1958. A copy of it is enclosed herewith for your files. It contains all the information transcribed above and also some very interesting photos. See for yourself.

Item: We weighed all these things and came to the conclusion that a strange game had begun and we should force it into the pattern we had planned. It was clear that the military knew that UAOs were real beyond any doubt and there was some clues suggesting that the situation might be more serious than it had been admitted before. The Army was obviously interested in our theory about UAO-hostile purposes--mostly about Martins' knowledge in the field. Therefore the time had come for more consummated action but we also had no illusion about the fight we were going to start. Each play, and each maneuver, became all important, and demanding the greatest skill we could command.

So, we prepared to be ready for the next clash. And at last it came.

IV) The Air Force Intelligence appears in the scene:

As said before, the Campinas incident was discussed in Martins' second article, published on October 19. A few days later Martins was phoned by a man called Cleto Nunes who was very interested in the analysis made by Dr. Meltz and in the "mysterious" Dr. Fontes. Martins knew him since 1954 through Colonel Adil de Oliveira--who seemed to be his friend. Nunes was also interested on flying saucers and tried to discuss the subject with Martins on several occasions. According to his declarations, he was a businessman at Niteroi, the capital of the State of Rio de Janeiro, on the other side of Guanabara Bay. After a two year's silence he had appeared again--this time to collect information about the Campinas case and about myself. Martins told him about my knowledge on the UAO-subject and described how I had convinced him that saucers are hostile. He also said that I might have signed his articles too, because I had contributed with many things that made them possible. This apparently increased Nunes curiosity about myself and he tried to arrange a meeting between Dr. Fontes and Mr. Nunes--with Martins help. "I want to know more about those samples analysed in the USA", he said, "because it did not agree with the results found by AF chemists." Those words puzzled Martins who promised to talk with Dr. Fontes about the matter. He reported the matter to me the same day and we agreed about the meeting, but decided to postpone it for a better opportunity in the future. If Nunes had told the truth, he certainly knew something more about the AF findings regarding the Campinas material. It was a thing to be investigated when possible.

After the publication of Martins' last article, on November 16, he was called by Colonel Adil de Oliveira. I already reported what he said about the articles. Besides that, he was also interested in the results reported by Dr. Maney and even said that AF analysis had showed the material to be commercial tin; so he was surprised and curious about that second examination; after all the sample had been picked up by a sargent, not by an officer, and there was the possibility of an intentional substitution of the original material by a worthless one (?). Martins informed him that Dr. Maney's results were not complete and promised to keep him informed about any new developments.

A few days later Mr. Cleto Nunes phoned again. He was still interested to meet Dr. Fontes, to ask some questions about the Campinas affair. But I was very busy with my patients and had no time left for him. On early December he made another attempt but again I was not available. This time he asked if Martins had been informed about a recent case apparently involving physical evidence of some sort. My friend did not suspect the trap behind that question and answered he knew only about the Ubatuba incident. He also said that I had samples of the material received by Mr. Ibrahim Sued. At this point he felt Nunes was too much interested and avoided to tell anything more on the subject. Nunes changed then his tactic and started a series of questions about the evidence we should have in our records suggesting that UAOs are a menace. Martins avoided those questions too, and forced the talk to end soon afterwards. Next day, he told me about Nunes behavior and said he was worried and even beginning to suspect the man was an AF Intelligence officer.

On the night of December 28, at last, Nunes got what he wanted. He phoned Martins to request the meeting he had promised so many times to be realized that day--if possible. Martins called me and I decided to accept. It was an opportunity as good as any other to investigate that strange Mr. Nunes and the reasons behind his curious attitude.

They came to my home half an hour later. The newcomer was a tall man with black hair and a pleasant smile on his face. He appeared to be 45 years old and had the appearance and poise of a fine and educated person. He was intelligent and had an easy laugh.

V) The Navy photos:

January was a quiet month.... It seemed that I was going to get no more information from military sources. But on February, things started to happen. On February 4, I was phoned by Commander Oswaldo Moura, a close friend I have in the Navy. He was a hard-boiled skeptic till now. In 1954, after a hot discussion about the subject, I gave him Aime Michel's book. He sent it back a few weeks later with a card, which said he was still unconvinced and would stay so until some better evidence appeared. I agreed with his right to do so and closed the matter. Then came the phone call. He was very excited.

"I would like to tell you something, Olavo. You were right when you tried to show me that flying saucers existed, a few years ago. I believe now. I have seen today five photos of a saucer. They are spectacular."

I asked him if they were Dr. Greco's photos. He said he knew those too, but the ones he was talking about were of a different kind. They had been taken aboard a Navy ship, the "NS Almirante Saldanha", before a great number of Navy officers and sailors, who had also sighted the unknown craft when it appeared over the peaks of the Trindade Island. The film had been developed aboard before witnesses who agreed it showed the same object they had seen. The commander of the ship had taken the film from the photographer and had delivered it to the Navy General Staff. Examination by experts had already excluded the possibility of a falsification. Besides, it was known that the same (or a similar) object had been sighted a few hours later, the same day, by the crew of another Navy ship, the "Tridente", this time near the coast of Bahia. Everybody in the Navy was talking about the event.

I told him I would like very much to see these photos. Was it possible? He answered that the matter was classified but the officer who kept the photos was a very close friend of him. He was going to request a special permission for me.

"Tell him that I am doing research on the field for several years", I said. "And also that I possess some metallic pieces from a disk which exploded near the Brazilian coast, last year. I can show them if he is interested". I said this to make them aware that I had something they would like to see, too. I was very interested on those photos and had to use some tool to break up the security curtain built around them.

My request was granted on February 14. That morning, I went to the Navy Ministry and saw the photos. I was met by Commander Jose Geraldo Brandao, chief of Information Department in the 2nd Section of the Navy General-Staff. We talked for a long time about the four amazing photos taken by Mr. Barauna. There was also a fifth one, taken a few days before the others by a Navy sergeant; it showed the same (or a similar) craft. I will report the details concerning these photos on another occasion. There are things more important to report now.

When the talk about the photos was closed, Commander Brandao asked for the sample of our Mg. I told him that they were not in my hands at the time, but I should have them back in a few days. But ~~xxx~~ I had photocopies of analyses made at the Mineral Production Lab. He asked to see them and became very excited about the analyses' results. He already knew about the case but only the Air Force had got samples, he said. If I agreed to give them a small piece of my own, the Navy would be very grateful. I offered him the two reports about the spectrographic examination (the one by chemist Maria Luiza) and X-Ray analysis and promised to think about the other request concerning the sample. He didn't like my evasive answer but said nothing.

I did it on purpose. I knew that this man was the Navy top-authority on UAOs. He was the man who had shown to my friend and patient, Commander Faria, that top-secret US Navy report including the Yalu River Valley incident. He was the chief of UAO-research and connected activities for the Brazilian Navy; he was also the "contact-man" on the subject with the US Navy and the US Military Mission. I was well informed about him and his activities and wanted to beat him down somehow. I had planned what to do to begin another game, this time against the Navy. The Mg was going to be my decoy to challenge my opponent, find his weakness and attack with all my forces.

We talked for two hours about flying saucers. His moves were came one after the other, carefully measured, trying to probe my real knowledge about the UAO-situation. I was careful to make no mistakes of own. I also tried several ways: ways that invited a misplay on my opponent's part. But he made none. He watched me and talked, always avoiding the trap. I changed my tactics several times, in attempts to trick him into a mistake. None came.

- P. S. -
(February 21, 1958)

Dear Coral:

The photos of a flying saucer taken on January 16, 1958, from the ship "NS Almirante Saldanha" of the Brazilian Navy, will have an important part in the rest of my report. I heard about them fifteen days ago when they were still secret. Through friends in the Navy, I got permission to see them under the compromise of absolute silence. On February 14, I went to the Navy Ministry. There, high staff officers from the Navy Intelligence Section showed me all of them and discussed openly the results of the examination made in the negatives by "experts". I will tell you all the details in a next letter. This short note was necessary because security measures didn't avoid the publication of the photos. They appeared in newspapers this morning and caused a tremendous shock in the public. I am sending a clipping of the newspaper O JORNAL, which printed some of them on its first page. As you will see, they are spectacular and represent a photographic evidence that hardly can be "explained away".

The newspaper printed the following:

" FLYING SAUCER SIGHTED AND PHOTOGRAPHED FROM ABOARD THE " ALMIRANTE SALDANHA "

" FLYING SAUCER (now official) was sighted at noon on January 16, 1958, by more than one hundred persons who were on the deck of the school-ship Almirante Saldanha, doing investigations related with the IGY, at the exact moment when that ship was preparing to leave the Trindade Island--and was photographed by one of the members of the expedition, Mr. Almiro Barauna, who was photographing the maneuvers "to take up a boat in the ship" at the moment the saucer appeared. Barauna had his "Rolleiflex" adjusted when noticed a strange brightness at the line of the horizon, which soon disappeared. Puzzled, he kept watching and saw the "thing" come back. He began then to take shots. He got six photos but only four could be used, because in two he was pulled by someone and photographed only the waters.

The film was developed aboard

The presence of the flying saucer over the Trindade Island frightened all who saw it and there was even an officer who became so full of terror that started to tremble and to speak meaningless words for some time--entirely out of his mind. The saucer was sighted simultaneously by almost everybody and, at that moment, a tremendous running and confusion started aboard--everybody wishing to see the strange object.

When it had disappeared and all was in order again, the Captain of Sea-and-War José dos Santos Saldanha da Gama got the film from Almiro Barauna; a few moments later, according to a suggestion of some officers, it was developed in the presence of some of them.

Inquiry in the navy

Captain of Sea-and-War Saldanha da Gama, commander of "NS Almirante Saldanha", reported the matter to Navy Headquarters at Rio and a careful investigation of the film was made to prevent any doubt regarding its authenticity.

It is proved--and there are official documents to testify it--that there is no possibility of a falsification, and that the photos developed on the film taken from Almiro's camera were the ones taken at the exact moment of the sighting.

It had been localized by radar

The day before the sighting, i. e., on January 15, the flying saucer had been spotted by the radar of "Almirante Saldanha", approximately at the same hour it appeared on the following day.

The radar operators, however, thought the strange "blip" to be the result of some trouble in the apparatus--and checked it to see if it was in order. It was.

According to informations given by Navy men living in Trindade Island, and confirmed by Comander Carlos Bacellar, this was the fourth appearance of the saucer over that region.

The Navy will not release any statement

We are informed that Navy authorities are not going to release any statement about the photos of the flying saucer and that the publicity given to the photos worried the Navy General Staff."

As you see, Coral, we have also photographic proof. It would be funny to see the face of Dr. Menzel when he takes a look at this "mirage".

William S. Steinman
15043 Rosalita Dr.
La Mirada, Calif.
90638

Grant Cameron
649 Silverstone Ave
Winnipeg, Manitoba
Canada R3T 2V8

October 21, 1987

Dear Grant:

Received your most welcome letter of last week (not dated). First to answer your "points" (questions?)

① Concerning The "MJ-12" Document as distributed by Moore-Friedman-Shander. That document originated with Air Force Office of Special Investigations 17th District, Kirtland Air Force Base, Special Agent Richard C. Doty. He either typed the document up based on something he had seen, to the best of his recollection or he concocted the whole thing as a piece of disinformation. Regardless of the reason, he photographed the "document" and mailed the undeveloped roll of film to Jamie Shander, Dec. 11, 1984. This explains why Aztec was not mentioned at all, and why the very

significant Mexican saucer recovery received only one single paragraph of attention!! As you notice, most of the document centered around the Roswell Recovery, which would naturally appeal to William L. Moore and Stan Friedman. I don't have anything against Moore and his Roswell story; but I do have something against this very blatant piece of disinformation.

This does not mean that I don't believe in the existence of the "MJ-12" Group - They are Real and do exist,

I contacted Richard C. Doty just last week - He denies even being the right Richard C. Doty, even tho Col. Ernest Edwards of Sandia Base knows him and says he is the right man.

I placed the 3 pages of Highly censored (by W.L. Moore) "MJ-12" in the book because that's all I had at that time - within weeks (Just Prior to printing (June) I received all 8 pages uncensored from Lee M. Graham.

That's another point that I don't quite understand, nor do I agree with, whatever the motive - The censoring of UFO related documents by so-called ufologists. That made "MJ-12" more suspicious (for Roswell) than ever.

My date ^(for Roswell) was simply a slip-up on my behalf - I didn't pull my file to get the right date at the time of writing. Besides, I wasn't writing on Roswell but Aztec in particular.

- ② Concerning The Bolling Air Force Base Document with information from Fort Belvoir, Virginia, concerning photo analysis of Paul F. Bennewitz's UFO photos at Kirtland A.F.B. Area, which mentions "MJ-12" and "Project Aquarius". I only had the censored copy first (Page 304). Stevens came up with the non-censored version (Page 625) later on.

This document is real - This was confirmed by Paul F. Bennewitz through inquiries through Senator

Domenici and Col. Ernest Edwards of Sandia Base Security. (even tho the C.I.A. said it was a fake)

Fort Belvoir, Virginia has a section which houses the direct link between Airforce Intelligence / Army Intelligence and the National Security Agency. I am still trying to get in touch with Captain Grace of Fort Belvoir. He is the one who told of "MJ-12" and "Project Aquarius". I almost got him by calling Ft. Belvoir operator. They refered me to the dept. of Intelligence Security where Grace worked. The call was deferred to someone else who claims, he never heard of Capt. Grace!!

③ I dont have any documentation showing a direct link between Smith and the AVRO Project. It just stands to reason that he opened up the way for the basics behind the project in the first place.

5

- ④ Todd Zechel's interviews with Art Lundahl developed into quite a friendship between Zechel and Lundahl. (inclosed you will find some of Zechel's writing about Art Lundahl's UFO connection). Zechel has disappeared once again. His folks don't know where he is. This happens quite often. He gets a whim and leaves without notice.

I usually reach Todd Zechel by writing to his folks at.

240 Briarwood Dr.

302

Prairie du Sac, Wisc.

53578

If you obtain a copy of the Goodspeed letter, please forward a copy to me.

- ⑤ The Quote from Wilbert B. Smith which appears on Page 2 comes from a letter written by W.B. Smith to Researcher Ronald Caswell on February 23, 1959 (I don't have a copy) but it can be obtained from Tim Good. The lines on page 13 concerning

Smith, Newton, and humanoid bodies comes from Dr. Berthold E. Schwarz's book, VFO Dynamics Book II. Schwarz got his information from Harold Sherman of Arkansas.

Grant, when you say we have been working hard on the Sheffield Case. Who are we? Can you give me a little background on your self. Where do you work, etc?

There is no documentation on Oppenheimer being shown the writing. This information was told to me via a Telecon with Sarbacher. Evidently ~~Sarbacher told~~ Oppenheimer related this to Sarbacher.

My copy of the Smith Geo-Magnetics memmo came down through that same channels as everybody else. I had no special connection.

I guess Tim Beckley is still around. I saw him at the Burbank Calif. UFO Convention in June of 1987. The last address I have

⑦

For him is

Suite 1306 303 Fifth Ave.
New York, New York
10016

The most interesting part of my investigation is starting to come in. Follow-up letters from those who have read my book. I am hoping that some person will contact me and reveal everything. This was one of the original purposes for the book in the first place. Please keep in touch.

Very Sincerely
Wm Stenner

P.S - Thanks for the documents
keep me informed.

Remember the contents of our letters are to remain Totally Confidential between you and I. - Do Not Distribute to anyone.